UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF PUERTO RICO

IN RE:

THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD OF PUERTO RICO,
As representative of

THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO, et al Debtor

IN RE:

THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO, As representative of

THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO, Debtor

LESLIE JAY BONILLA SAUDER, Movant

v.

THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PUERTO RICO,

As representative of THE COMMONWEALTH OF PUERTO RICO, Respondant

PROMESA TITLE III

CASE NO. 17-BK-3283- LTS (Jointly Administered)

RE: ECF NO. 12897, 13465 and 13543

CREDITOR'S REPLY TO OBJECTION OF THE FINANCIAL OVERSIGHT AND MANAGEMENT BOARD FOR PR TO MOTION REQUESTING ALLOWANCE OF POST PETITION TORT CLAIM AS PRIORITY CLAIM

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

COMES NOW Leslie Jay Bonilla Sauder (hereinafter "Creditor" or "Movant"), through the undersigned counsel, and very respectfully states and prays as follows:

1. On April 20th, 2020 movant filed a Motion Requesting Allowance of Post-Petition Tort Claim as Priority Claim Pursuant to the Fundamental Fairness Doctrine in Reading Co. v. Brown, 391 US 741 (1968) filed under docket entry no. 12897.

Case:17-03283-LTS Doc#:13820 Filed:07/23/20 Entered:07/23/20 16:51:33 Desc: Main

In Re: Leslie Jay Bonilla Sauder (Movant); Case No.: 17-03283LTS

REPLY TO OBJECTION

2. The Financial Oversight and Management Board of PR as a representative of The

Commonwealth of Puerto Rico (hereinafter Commonwealth or Oversight Board) filed an Objection

of the Financial Oversight and Management Board for PR to Motion Requesting Allowance of Post-

Petition Tort Claim as Priority Claim. See docket entry no. 13465. Commonwealth alleges in its

objection that creditor's request is outside of the Reading doctrine.

3. The argument of the Oversight Board is that the auction did not create a separate

cause of action other than to request that the confiscation be declared illegal, which is a remedy

contained in the *Uniform Forfeiture Act of 2011, 34 LPRA §1724 et seq.* Therefore, since the request

in state court for the confiscation to be declared illegal and the auction process are all contained

in the *Uniform Forfeiture Act of 2011, 34 LPRA §1724 et seq.*, they are all linked to each other and

cannot be separated to argue that the auction created a new or different cause of action.

4. Movant's position is that this argument is misconstrued because the illegal

confiscation of the vehicle is one claim that has its own award for damages that pertain to loss of

income while the auctioning of the vehicle which seeks damages for the value of the vehicle and

other damages related to the permanent loss of the vehicle. Moreover, the illegal confiscation of

the vehicle and the auctioning off said vehicle are two different events and the latter is not

necessarily a direct consequence of the other.

5. Movant sustains that Reading Co. v. Brown, 391 U.S. 471 (1968), applies to this case

because the cause of action derived from the negligent and illegal disposition of the vehicle

occurred after the filing of the petition for relief in this Honorable Court. That act was tortious and

can only be compensated with a monetary relief, plus interest. Had the Commonwealth refrained

from acting in such a negligent manner and had it kept the forfeited car in good condition under

its possession, the remedy available to the movant would have basically been the return of the

vehicle to movant.

In Re: Leslie Jay Bonilla Sauder (Movant); Case No.: 17-03283LTS 3

REPLY TO OBJECTION

WHEREFORE, Movant requests from this Honorable Court to take notice of the preceding facts and allow movant to file a proof of claim as a priority administrative claim in the minimum amount of \$16,500 pursuant to *the Fundamental Fairness Doctrine* in *Reading Co. v. Brown*, 391 US 741 (1968).

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED.

In Caguas, Puerto Rico, this 23rd day of July 2020.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE: I certify that on this same date the foregoing document has been electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which sends notification of such filing to all system's participants, including the U.S. Trustee's Office and the Trustee.

/s/ CARLOS A. RUIZ RODRIGUEZ

USDC-PR 210009
Attorney for Movant
LCDO. CARLOS A. RUIZ RODRIGUEZ, CSP
P.O. Box 1298, Caguas, PR 00726-1298
Phone: (787) 286-9775 / Fax: (787) 747-2174
carlosalbertoruizquiebras@gmail.com